

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

FC 2003-091366

08/03/2009

HONORABLE BRUCE R. COHEN

CLERK OF THE COURT
C. Gauna
Deputy

IN RE THE MATTER OF
LESLEY M EISENHOUR

LESLEY M EISENHOUR
525 N VAL VISTA DR #59
MESA AZ 85213

AND

HYRUM MARK MCLEMORE

RODNEY M MATHESON

AG-CHILD SUPPORT-EAST VALLEY
OFFICE

**RESOLUTION MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
EVIDENTIARY HEARING SET**

Courtroom 304-SE

3:57 p.m. This is the time set for Resolution Management Conference. Petitioner, Lesley M. Eisenhour, is present on his own behalf. Respondent, Hyrum Mark Mclemore, is present with counsel, Rodney M. Matheson.

A record of the proceedings is made by audio and/or videotape in lieu of a court reporter.

This matter returns to the court on Mother's Petition to Relocate. Assuming the information as presented by Mother, there is no statutory basis to allow for the move in advance of the hearing. Therefore, the issue of relocation will be addressed at the full evidentiary hearing.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

FC 2003-091366

08/03/2009

Mother raises a number of factors upon which she bases her request to relocate. Those factors include the opportunity to live rent free with her Father in Utah and to gain training in the loan industry. Mother notes that she is experiencing significant economic hardship, some of which is created by or from her separation from her husband who is the father of her other two children. Additionally, Mother presently has her driver's license suspended and she believes the move will allow her to save for a new vehicle when her license will become available to her in March, 2010.

Father does not contest the propriety of the care provided by Mother. Rather, he believes that if Mother must move on an interim basis, Rose should live primarily with Father during Mother's absence. He also maintains that there is extended family on both sides of the family that reside in this area and that Rose can remain at her current school if she resides with Father.

The burden of proof for relocation is on Mother. Both parties are provided this date with a copy of the statutory factors that the Court is to consider in addressing the relocation. Both parties are urged to confer in an effort to determine whether there is a viable interim plan, particularly since Mother maintains that her long term plan would likely include a return to Arizona.

IT IS ORDERED setting Evidentiary Hearing on September 30, 2009 at 3:00 p.m. (Time allotted: 2 hours) in this Division at the Southeast Judicial District, 222 East Javelina Avenue, Courtroom 304, Mesa, Arizona 85210.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED any evidence intended to be submitted as exhibits at the time of the Evidentiary Hearing must be brought to this Court's Clerk in Courtroom 304 **no later than 12:00 p.m. on Wednesday, September 23, 2009 with a coversheet listing the description of the exhibits.** All exhibits must be hand-delivered to Courtroom 304. Any exhibits submitted shall be copied to the opposing party at that same time. The parties shall coordinate their exhibits so that each party does not present duplicate exhibits of the other party. In addition, at the time of the commencement of the hearing, **each party shall provide an extra copy of the exhibits for use by the Court during the hearing. This extra set of exhibits shall be noted to be the "Bench Copy".**

4:40 p.m. Matter concludes.

All parties representing themselves must keep the Court updated with address changes. A form may be downloaded at: <http://www.superiorcourt.maricopa.gov/SuperiorCourt/Self-ServiceCenter>.